Order Now! "The Wuhan Cover-Up" by RFK Jr.!

12/22/23 • COVID › NEWS

Author of Study Used to Vilify Unvaxed Had Ties to Pfizer — New Peer-Reviewed Research Shows Why the Study Was Flawed

A new peer-reviewed study by researchers Joseph Hickey, Ph.D., and Denis Rancourt, Ph.D., re-examined the mathematical models used to justify policies that barred unvaccinated people from public venues. They found the models were based on the application of flawed mathematical risk models.

By Brenda Baletti, Ph.D.

39

Proof of Vaccination Required
FLAWED

Miss a day, miss a lot. *Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day.* ***It's free.***

During the COVID-19 pandemic, politicians, scientists and media organizations vilified unvaccinated people, blaming them for prolonging the pandemic and advocating policies that barred "the unvaccinated" from public venues, businesses and their own workplaces.

But a peer-reviewed study published last week in Cureus shows that a key April 2022 study by Fisman et al. — used to justify draconian policies segregating the unvaccinated — was based on the application of flawed mathematical risk models that offer no scientific backing for such policies.

Dr. David Fisman, a University of Toronto epidemiologist was the lead author of the April 2022 study, published in the Canadian Medical Association Journal (CMAJ), which the authors said showed that unvaccinated people posed a disproportionate risk to vaccinated people.

Fisman has worked as an adviser to vaccine makers Pfizer, Seqirus, AstraZeneca and Sanofi-Pasteur. He also advised the Canadian government on its COVID-19 policies and recently was tapped to head up the University of Toronto's new Institute for Pandemics.

Fisman told reporters the key message of the study was that the choice to get vaccinated is not merely personal because if you choose to be unvaccinated, you are "creating risk for those around you."

The press ran with it.

Headlines like Salon's, "Merely hanging out with unvaccinated puts the vaccinated at higher risk: study," Forbes' "Study Shows Unvaccinated People Are At Increased Risk Of Infecting The Vaccinated" or Medscape's "My Choice? Unvaccinated Pose Outsize Risk to Vaccinated" proliferated in more than 100 outlets.

The Canadian Parliament used the paper to promote restrictions for unvaccinated people.

However, in the new study published last week, Joseph Hickey, Ph.D., and Denis Rancourt, Ph.D., show that Fisman's "susceptible-infectious-recovered (SIR)" model, used to draw his conclusions, had a glaring flaw in one of its key parameters — contact frequency.

When they adjusted that parameter to account for real-world data, the model produced a variety of contradictory outcomes, including one showing that segregating unvaccinated people can increase the epidemic severity among the vaccinated — the exact opposite of what Fisman et al. purported to show

Hickey and Rancourt, researchers at Canada's Correlation: Research in the Public Interest, concluded that without reliable empirical data to inform such SIR models, the models are "intrinsically limited" and should not be used as a basis for policy.

The Canadian researchers attempted to publish their paper in CMAJ, where Fisman had published his original study, but the editor — a collaborator of Fisman's — refused even to review it.

The open-access version of CMAJ also declined to publish the article even after it received favorable peer reviews.

In a letter sent, with supporting documentation, to the CMAJ and the Canadian Medical Association, Hickey and Rancourt recounted the "tedious saga" whereby the journal editors "concocted a multitude of ancillary and unnecessary objections, apparently intended to be insurmountable barriers" to publishing their study.

They later published the study in the peer-reviewed journal Cureus.

Rancourt tweeted a link to the study results along with a montage of pandemic-era media clips scapegoating unvaccinated people.

Denis Rancourt @denisrancourt · Follow

The latest rigorous peer-reviewed science has now proven that these (video clip) despicable global-parasite pronouncements were wrong (=disinformation), and were therefore evil given the widespread harm caused...
MEDIA NOTICE ABOUT THE ACTUAL SCIENCE:
correlation-canada.org/media-notice-s...
h/t... Show more

10:15 AM · Dec 18, 2023

404 Reply Share

Read 44 replies

'A policy based on nothing'

SIR models were commonly used as the basis for pandemic policies, often with fatal flaws research has since shown.

Fisman et al. designed their study to measure the impacts of segregating two groups — vaccinated and unvaccinated people — applying a SIR model to predict whether the unvaccinated pose an undue risk to the vaccinated during a severe acute respiratory viral outbreak, based on variable degrees of mixing among the groups.

However the model, Hickey and Rancourt wrote, failed to consider the impacts of that segregation on "contact frequencies," a key parameter in predicting epidemic outcomes.

Instead, it assumed contact frequencies among the majority (vaccinated) and socially excluded (unvaccinated) groups would be equal and constant, which "is not realistic," Hickey told The Defender.

In other words, the model assumed the two groups would be separated, yet living the same parallel existence — socializing, working, shopping and coming into contact with others in exactly the same ways.

But in the real world, segregation meant the unvaccinated were barred from many public places, so their contact frequencies were severely curtailed.

Hickey and Rancourt implemented the SIR model again, testing for a degree of segregation that ranged from zero to complete segregation and allowing the contact frequencies for individuals in the two groups to vary with the degree of segregation.

When they ran the model using the more realistic estimation of how different segregation policies might generate different contact frequencies among the two groups, "we found the results are all over the map," Hickey said.

By segregating unvaccinated people from the vaccinated majority, he said, "You can have an increase in the attack rate among vaccinated people or you can have a decrease."

"Negative epidemiological consequences can occur for either segregated group, irrespective of the deleterious health impacts of the policies themselves," they wrote.

Hickey said the variable outcomes were very sensitive to the values of the parameters in the model, namely infectious contact frequency.

But he said, in the real world there are no reliable measures for contact frequency, and without reliable measures for model inputs, the model is essentially meaningless.

They concluded that the degree of uncertainty is so high in such SIR models that they cannot reasonably inform policy decisions.

"It's a policy based on nothing basically," Hickey said.

"We cannot recommend that SIR modelling be used to motivate or justify segregation policies regarding viral respiratory diseases, in the present state of knowledge," the study concluded.

RFK Jr. and Brian Hooker's
New Book: "Vax-Unvax"
ORDER NOW

'Fisman's Fraud'

Modeling had a major impact on the pandemic response in Canada and globally, statistician Regina Watteel, Ph.D., who chronicled the impact of the Fisman paper in her book "Fisman's Fraud: the Rise of Canadian Hate Science," told The Defender.

As a key figure in modeling the pandemic in Canada, Fisman "was involved in Canada's pandemic response at all levels," she said.

He was also influential as a public figure, making numerous disparaging comments about "anti-vaxxers" from early on and advocating policies like vaccine passports and school closures long before he received a major grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research for his SIR modeling study.

Fisman was open in interviews about the fact that the point of the 2022 study was to "undermine the notion that vaccine choice was best left to the individual," Watteel said.

The 2022 modeling paper didn't just present mathematical results, the authors also made political claims.

The paper stated:

> "The choice of some individuals to refuse vaccination is likely to affect the health and safety of vaccinated people in a manner disproportionate to the fraction of unvaccinated people in the population.
>
> "Risk among unvaccinated people cannot be considered self-regarding, and considerations around equity and justice for people who do choose to be vaccinated, as well as those who choose not to be, need to be considered in the formulation of vaccination policy."

Despite serious concerns raised by numerous researchers in the CMAJ article's response section, the mainstream international press widely promoted the article as proof the unvaccinated posed a danger to the vaccinated.

Fisman publicly advocated for vaccine mandates and passports and told reporters the impetus behind the modeling study was not a scientific question of the effects of segregation on infection rates, but the political question of, "What are the rights of vaccinated people to be protected from unvaccinated people?"

A few days after the study was published, the parliamentary secretary to the Ontario Ministry of Health used the study to defend proposed travel restrictions, Watteel showed in her book.

As a result, she wrote, it "has generated a massive trail of misinformation."

Watteel concurred that Fisman et al.'s study was based on bad modeling. She added that by omitting publicly available current data that contradicted the data they presented in the article, the study was actually "fraudulent."

Fisman et al. published the paper during the so-called Omicron surge, which was dominated by infections among the fully vaccinated. By spring 2022, people who were boosted had disproportionately more infections than others, according to data on the government of Ontario COVID-19 website and reproduced in Watteel's book.

However, none of that publicly available data was included in the study.

Instead, Watteel wrote:

> "Fisman et al. concocted a model to generate the results they wanted, completely omitting any reference to readily available real-world data that contradicted their results (falsification). They went on to state the contrived results as facts (data fabrication) and then proceeded to inform public policy based on the fabricated results.
>
> "The researchers continued to push the false narrative long after numerous scientists rebuked the findings and provided evidence of the findings' falsity. This indicates a willful misrepresentation and misinterpretation of research findings."

"The Wuhan Cover-Up"
by Robert F. Kennedy Jr.
ORDER NOW

CAMJ editor, Fisman colleague, blocks review of Correlation article

Hickey told The Defender when they submitted their paper critiquing SIR models like Fisman's to CAMJ in August 2022, editor Matthew Stanbrook, M.D., Ph.D. — who also works at the University of Toronto and has collaborated with Fisman on academic articles, grants and courses — rejected the article without even sending it for peer review.

Hickey and Rancourt appealed the decision and requested Stanbrook recuse himself. The journal suggested they resubmit their study to the open-access version of CAMJ, which they did. It was rejected without going through peer review.

They appealed that decision and the paper was sent for review. A few months later, they received two positive reviews with requested corrections. They responded to the reviews and made corrections to the paper, expecting publication.

The journal then informed them there had been a "technical error" and the journal — which is supposed to have an entirely transparent peer-review process — had failed to send them concerns from anonymous internal editors and an anonymous statistician.

Hickey told The Defender:

> "It is their policy that the reviewers' names are public and that the review reports and the revision, like the responses by the author, all that stuff is public. That's the policy. There's no escaping that.
>
> "And yet what do they do? They use anonymous internal people to put barriers up and make pretexts to not publish even in the face of positive reviews."

Those anonymous comments included a suggestion that they should use Fisman's flawed mathematical analysis, Hickey said. The authors responded to those comments in what they have now also posted on their website as a stand-alone article.

Months later, they requested an update on the journal's plans for the article and were informed that the journal decided the article would not be suitable for its audience and suggested they instead publish in a modeling journal.

All of their collected critiques of Fisman's 2022 paper are also collected on the Correlation website.

Subscribe to The Defender – It's Free!
First Name.. Last Name.. Email.. SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION

Brenda Baletti, Ph.D.
Brenda Baletti Ph.D. is a reporter for The Defender. She wrote and taught about capitalism and politics for 10 years in the writing program at Duke University. She holds a Ph.D. in human geography from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill and a master's from the University of Texas at Austin.

Sign up for free news and updates from Children's Health Defense. CHD focuses on legal strategies to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those injured. We can't do it without your support

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy
We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.
Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.
Got it

39 Comments Login ▾

Join the discussion...

LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS ?

Name

♡ 3 • Share Best Newest Oldest

Chase Sheridan
a day ago
How does a headline like this even make ANY sense? "Forbes' "Study Shows Unvaccinated People Are At Increased Risk Of Infecting The Vaccinated"???? It was insane then and it's insane now. What is the point of vaccination if not to protect (allegedly) someone from catching the virus???
22 0 Reply • Share ›

Faith → Chase Sheridan
14 hours ago
Those pro-vaxx hacks are just stupid. That is all one can say about them. IF THEY WERE SMART PEOPLE they would have questioned everything about the vaxx program . . . WHY did the government want to illegally mandate an experimental, untested, unproven genetic modification drug utilizing a completely new platform with zero record of safety? It smells fishy no matter how you look at it! Don't people know better than to purchase a completely redesigned model of car the first year it comes out? New things ALWAYS HAVE "BUGS" and many turn out to be "lemons". But then, this entire episode has turned out to be a great example of "Darwin Awards" where the "fools rush in" approach removes dumb people from the gene pool.
9 0 Reply • Share ›

Allen → Faith
3 hours ago
Those pro-vaxx get their information from main stream media. Thus they are brainwashed into thinking what they are told.
1 0 Reply • Share ›

Steven Berge → Chase Sheridan
a day ago edited
Exactly. People switched from rose colored glasses to blacked out glasses. I still have friends that believe in the jabs.
9 0 Reply • Share ›

Dede Tudor → Steven Berge
19 hours ago
I hate to say this but on the very definition of synthetic biology contains other. diseases, cancer included are listed in the dangers of using it.
1 0 Reply • Share ›

drw22 → Chase Sheridan
a day ago
Exactly. The vaxxed were "protected." So why would they be fearful of those who aren't? Way back when the vaxxes came out, my friend got vaxxed and was shocked that I wouldn't. She wouldn't see me for a long time because I wasn't "protected" too. She never got Covid because she isolated and thinks she didn't because of the vaxx. I got Covid when I went to a convention with 2,000 people, and she blames my illness on my not getting vaxxed.
8 0 Reply • Share ›

BChristine → drw22
20 hours ago
I experienced the same. I was the only one at my work (small business with 12 employees) who chose NOT to be vaxed. I still feel to this day I am shunned for doing so. Nearly three years into 'Covid' this year, I got sick, but was back to work within a week because of the natural protocols I read about (I'm 65). Nearly everyone else had Covid at least twice; some more. I am grateful to be a critical thinker like you :)
6 0 Reply • Share ›

boxerdoglover → drw22
4 hours ago
We have a family member who was a nurse. She had to quit before Covid because she had health problems. But what did she do in 2021....got the jab and has had all the boosters and can't figure out why her health is 100 times worse. Before those shots, she could manage her health problems pretty well. I'm not a nurse but I figured out it wasn't safe, especially around 2015 a nurse at the VA, when I asked him if he would get the Tetanus shot, and he said no and he wouldn't let his children get any shots, that was a huge red flag.
3 0 Reply • Share ›

holisticpoet
21 hours ago
How about we all just admit that virtually everything they told us about the jab and the plandemic was a lie. We would be coming much closer to the truth.
16 0 Reply • Share ›

Ricky Ricardo
20 hours ago
I'm not even going to bother reading this. How can anyone with even HALF a brain, fall for this idiocy!!! Healthy people do **NOT** infect others! Also; it's been proven that it's the so-called "vaccinated" who are the problem. The Zombie Apocalypse is growing.
15 0 Reply • Share ›

brbg → Ricky Ricardo
2 hours ago
And ICU nurses have reported, contrary to only acceptable narrative, that 90% of their COVID patients were vaccinated!
2 0 Reply • Share ›

One Day Closer
a day ago
If your "vaccine" makes you unsafe around the un-vaccinated, you wasted time, money and possibly your health by getting a shot.
15 0 Reply • Share ›

Ektor
a day ago
Everything in relation to fizer is extremely flawed. This company, along with many other big pharma companies, are in the murder business for profit, not the health business.
12 0 Reply • Share ›

boxerdoglover → Ektor
4 hours ago
Well, Moderna was on the verge of going bankrupt because everything they made didn't work. Then along came the jab. Fauci's was so happy his company was revived 😡
0 0 Reply • Share ›

Suzi D.
6 hours ago
I have two friends (married) who both took the vaccine. His wife ended up with open heart surgery, and he, to this day, has breathing issues. He's confessed to me, more than once, that I was smart to not take the vaccine, and wishes they didn't. My refusal to inject toxic substances into my body is nobody's business but mine. Because of the ridiculous media bias, my neighbor says it's all my fault. (She was vaccinated twice and has had Covid at least twice!) I keep telling myself that you can't fix 'stupid'!
7 0 Reply • Share ›

Chris
7 hours ago edited
I took a biology statistics course in college. The professor was quick to point out how easy it was to cheat on scientific experiments. Or perhaps I should say how to spin the data to what you want.
6 0 Reply • Share ›

Leslie
16 hours ago
I was denied an exemption by my employer and chose to leave my job by the deadline for injection. I was threatened by my supervisor I would never work again because I refused the shot. I am glad I did refuse these injections because they are now proving to be part of a big lie that has destroyed health, livelihoods, freedoms and trust in our health systems.
If these shots were effective and really provided immunity this infection would be under control. Instead there are mutations galore because of their failure and peoples immune systems and health have been damaged by them. The idea that someone
else's immune status can affect someone who has been "immunized " is preposterous. If you are immune because of a so called immunization you shouldn't have to worry about anyone else's status.
Hence these shots aren't immunizations at all and provide no protection, this to me is a dangerous lie that put the vaccinated at a greater risk by making them think they are protected when they are susceptible to the infection. I have worked around many vaccinated , even currently they are getting sick a lot now and sometimes deathly ill.
I have been a bit ill once in 2 years but have recovered in good time.
There has always been a cold and flu season but now it is being exploited to bring fear into peoples lives for profit.
6 0 Reply • Share ›

Polly Green
a day ago
The truth will out.
Of course, Fisman being Canadian is the equivalent of being hidden at Mount Weather by the CIA. He won't be prosecuted as long as Sparkle Sox Trudy is in power.
6 0 Reply • Share ›

Ricky Ricardo
20 hours ago
BTW: It states, right in Pfizer's own internal documents that during the initial trials - more than 1,200 people died...pure insanity!
5 0 Reply • Share ›

Alan Sterry → Ricky Ricardo
11 hours ago
I thought I'd seen 1,253 deaths in the 1st 90 days mentioned and then couldn't find where I'd seen it !
1 0 Reply • Share ›

Ricky Ricardo → Alan Sterry
25 minutes ago
I have that document and others in my library. You may be able to find it in this, around pages 6-7. https://phmpt.org/wp-conten...
0 0 Reply • Share ›

kaoshispano
21 hours ago
All is LIE in this COVIDian show in the NWO for the antiCHRIST, like was easy to know....
PRAY and prepare, the worst is coming just now.
5 0 Reply • Share ›

Sylvie Lee
12 hours ago
My husband and I are unvaccinated. We have been around our grand-children who had covid. They spent a few days with us with covid but we did not know then that it was covid because children don't get very sick with covid, it just seemed like a normal cold. My husband got covid (proven with a test) from this contact, it was a bad flu , in bed for some days, very weak and feeling very sick. But he got well again. I certainly got the virus inside of me because I did not hide from those contacts. But I did not get sick. If I would have been vaccinated, people would have said it is because of the vaccine. But I did not get the vaccine and did not get sick even after living with people who had covid. Each time I hear that the vaccine makes people less sick, I always think about how this is just an opinion, not facts. How do they know how sick a person would have been without the vaccine since vaccinated people do get covid and people like me that are unvaccinated don't get covid even when exposed to covid while others do get it. When we only look at the facts instead of opinions, the vaccine did not help at all. It is the opposite. I know no one who died from covid (I know that exists, but I don't know even one, so there are not a lot compared to the whole population). But I do know one person who died from the covid vaccine, became sick a couple of days after the vaccine, then more and more sick for months, suffered a lot and died. So I know more people who died from the shot (at least one) than from covid (none).
4 0 Reply • Share ›

boxerdoglover → Sylvie Lee
4 hours ago
Probably the flu and colds. The creator of the "test" admitted it gave false positives and actually was not created for testing covid.
2 0 Reply • Share ›

Paul Hastilow
13 hours ago
As soon as I saw this article was referring to the Idiocy of Canadian Drs and University Hospitals in Toronto and Unscrupulous Politicians like Trudeau, then I knew their findings and assumptions were only worth the Trash Bin.
Logic denotes , If you are Vaxxinated, and they are proven safe and effective, and in fact "Work"then why be afraid of someone who is a "True Blood" and not poisoned by a "Toxic Test Substance." You should have immunity from infection… Yes. ? Or No. ? So why vilify another person who chose not to be involved in Eugenics Experiments.
It has been proven without a doubt that Big pHarma "Colluded with Governments" and "So called Health Agencies", to hide the fact that the "Gain of Function" Clot shots, "Nutrilized" a recipients "Immune System", and the More they had the sicker they got….! (So much for Booster Shots.!)
Their Whole Narrative was False and Misleading.
It was in fact a "Crime against Humanity" and Deserves a "Nuremberg" type Trial, to hold the instigators , accountable for 17 million deaths ,World Wide. (To Date, ! will eventually be much more.!)
This is No More than a Gates Depopulation Eugenic Scam, instigated more than 5 yrs ago.

Donate Now
You make the difference.

Latest News

600 Military Installations Are Leaking Toxic PFAS Chemicals Into U.S. Drinking Water Supplies

Author of Study Used to Vilify Unvaxed Had Ties to Pfizer — New Peer-Reviewed Research Shows Why the Study Was Flawed

Obesity Rising + Sleep Quality & Behavior + 'Natural' Autism Therapy + More

MORE NEWS

Latest Views

Is Gluten Making You Sick? Or Is It the Glyphosate Sprayed on Wheat?

Keeling Over on the Soccer Field: Is It 'Long COVID' — or the Vaccines?

House Set to Grill Fauci Next Month — Will He Come Clean About COVID Origins?

MORE VIEWS

Get FREE News & Updates!
First Name..
Last Name..
Email..
SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts
Sign up for breaking news from The Defender.
Currently only eligible for numbers in the U.S. and Canada.
1234567890
Phone
REQUIRED. Phone number must be in a standard 10-digit format: 1234567890 or 123-456-7890
CONTINUE

Ably Assisted by Dr Death Fauci, FDA, CDC, WEF, WHO. UN and Pentagon. Trudeau being one of Klaus Schwabs Bright Young Puppets, leading the Onslaught, against innocent People in Canada… Shame on you All.!

👍 4 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

boxerdoglover ➦ Paul Hastilow
4 hours ago

Exactly. After the first jab, your immune system was decreased by at least 50% or more. Then with the booster, no immune system, which means death is likely for a lot of people just from the flu or bad cold. That was the plan all along. There is a clip of Bill Gates saying that overpopulation can be fixed with vaccines.
There are 2 families actually calling the shots who are above Schwab and the rest. That would be the Rothschilds and I can't remember the name of the other family. They control everything. Well, they think. Ultimately God is in control, but they don't believe that. 😉

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

A. Miller
a day ago

The price of selling your soul is high and a certainty. I hope their treachery or stupidity can be used to teach this lesson to the next generation.

👍 4 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

GarySummers
18 hours ago edited

1.) "COVID Vaccines Integrate Into Human DNA, Study Finds" "Long Covid"?
The much-ridiculed "antivax trope" proved to be true!

"Summary: a study of humans suffering from 'Long Covid' analyzed their cellular DNA. The authors unexpectedly found genes uniquely specific to the Pfizer COVID vaccine in human blood cells. This finding proves that mRNA COVID vaccines permanently integrate into the DNA of some COVID-vaccinated people."
https://www.igor-chudov.com...

2.) "Geert's Concern about the New Covid Variant (JN.1)"
He's still standing by his hypothesis that the "suboptimal immune pressure from the Vax" will result in a variant that essentially makes 'the vaccinated's immune system defenseless'. He talks about the latest variant and how this is essentially one step before the next variant that then puts people in hospital and increases morbidity.

I don't know what I think about it but I know I'm seeing a whole bunch of illness around me.

From previous years, I think after the Xmas "variant" the next one usually rears its head around April / May time and then there's the usual scare as to whether summer will be

see more

👍 3 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Voyt Regnal
19 hours ago

Fisman has been smelling like 'fish' since the beginning of transfecting jabs. I wanted to buy and send him a copy of this book "Fisman's Fraud", but eventually decided to inform him about it by e-mail. What a scumbag he is.. 😡

👍 3 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Faith
14 hours ago edited

Fisman is a complete moron, as has now been unambiguously proven by the much higher incidence and greater severity of COVID disease, longer illness, and longer and higher levels of viral shedding IN THE MULTIPLE-VAXXED!!!!! It is the Vaxxed who are contageous, have damaged immune systems, and pose a serious DANGER to the UNvaxxed, NOT the other way around! We especially need to stay completely AWAY FROM all persons for at least a month after they get a COVID shot BECAUSE THEY ARE DANGEROUS SPREADERS and SHEDDERS of BIOWEAPON viral spike proteins!!!

👍 4 👎 1 Reply • Share ›

Kevin
16 hours ago

As a Canadian living not far from Toronto, I'm happy to see Fisman and other deserving individuals being exposed for their fear and hate mongering. They remind me of scientists in the past whose 'studies' were used to justify genocide, and the media propagandists are equally guilty. Hopefully shining a spotlight on their actions can deter a repeat in the future.

👍 2 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Jean Johnson
20 hours ago

My Lapcorp antibody test showed I have not had Covidf yet. But all of my vaccinated friends have had covid.Everyone in one family had it twice.

👍 2 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Jean Johnson
20 hours ago

I am unvaccinated and I have not had covid_19. Labcorp antibody testing verifies that I have not had covid. Most of my friends and family who were vaccinated did have covid. The members of one family all had covid twice.

👍 2 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

PXXAT5
10 hours ago

What do you call a vaccine that do not protect you, from an illness, and do not cure you, if you get the illness....a PLACEBO.

👍 1 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Kitty Ca'at ➦ PXXAT5
4 hours ago

Placebos are much more effective with success rates between 30-50% depending on the study. Here's one example https://www.health.harvard....

👍 1 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

boxerdoglover ➦ PXXAT5
4 hours ago

Actually, I would call it a bio weapon.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

boxerdoglover
4 hours ago

Never ceases to amaze me how literally stupid people are and can't use their brains. "The unvaccinated cause the vaccinated to get Covid." Ummm, ok 🤦‍♀️. So you get a jab that was supposed to stop you from getting Covid, yet it's the vaccinated getting Covid over and over and over again. And don't forget all the side affects including death. Just the fact that all "vaccines" have mercury (poison) and aluminum (poison in the body), is enough to to not take them. I will never forget my mother telling me if I ever broke a thermometer, don't touch the mercury because it is poisonous. And yet, you gladly stick your arm out for a shot. 🤦‍♀️🤦‍♀️🤦‍♀️

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

John Stone Mod ➦ boxerdoglover
4 hours ago

Some good points but the Covid shots don't have aluminium and mercury although it is often far from clear what they do have. Most routine vaccines have aluminium and some have mercury still.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

boxerdoglover ➦ John Stone
3 hours ago

Flu and other vaccines do have mercury and aluminum and it is very clear now what the jab has, including cancer cells.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

✉ Subscribe 🔒 Privacy ! Do Not Sell My Data

Children's Health Defense

Children's Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable and establish safeguards to prevent future harm. We fight corruption, mass surveillance and censorship that put profits before people as well as advocate for worldwide rights to health freedom and bodily autonomy.

THE DEFENDER IS AVAILABLE IN:

ENGLISH SPANISH PORTUGUESE GERMAN ITALIAN FRENCH

TAKE ACTION

DONATE FREE SIGN-UP BECOME A MEMBER

Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604

© 2016 - 2023 Children's Health Defense® • All Rights Reserved • Sitemap